

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,425 03/15/2002		Hiroaki Shibasaki	1576.99	1761		
24040	7590 05	5/14/2003				
	ASSOCIATES	S, PA		EXAMINE	IER	
17757 US HWY 19 N. SUITE 500				WRIGHT, S	WRIGHT, SONYA N	
CLEARWATI	CLEARWATER, FL 33764			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1626	6	
				DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,425	SHIBASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sonya Wright	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,7,9-14 and 1</u>		nsideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	1) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do						
_a)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) O	office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 2-10-03. Claims 1-3 and 15-18 have been amended. Claim 8 has been canceled. Claims 1-7 and 9-21 are pending in this application. Claims 6, 7, 9-14 and 19-21 are withdrawn from consideration.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 have been overcome with Applicant's amendments.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 35 U.S.C. 103 have been maintained.

The claim objections have been overcome with Applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-5, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Booth, et al. (*J Chem Soc Perkin Trans* 1, 1987) for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 11-18-02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Art Unit: 1626

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1-5 & 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Booth et al., (*J Chem Soc Perkin Trans* 1, 1987) for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 11-18-02.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2-10-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103. Applicant argues that the present invention was carried out at pH 13 or more since the process involved the use of 1-10 moles of the basic compound (sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide) per mole of the compound represented by formula (II). After the reaction, the reaction solution pH was then adjusted to the isoelectric point or to 9-13 to precipitate crystal. So the present invention is different than the disclosure of the Booth reference, even if the pH range of 10-11 mentioned in the reference happens (to) be inclusive of the pH range of 9-13 for the present invention's post reaction adjustment.

However, it is obvious to adjust the amount of base added and to change the times during the reaction that base is added to modify the pH and therefore optimize the reaction. Motivation to modify the existing procedure is provided in Booth et al. on page 1522, in the left column, lines 4-7. Also see the example shown in Booth et al. on page 1524, fourth paragraph down, left-hand column.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1626

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7922. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail

Art Unit: 1626

communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

May 10, 2003